



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/601,812	06/23/2003	Bruce Daniel MacMillan	030167	9837
45695 7590 04/27/2007 WITHERS & KEYS FOR BELL SOUTH P. O. BOX 71355 MARIETTA, GA 30007-1355			EXAMINER ROSE, HELENE ROBERTA	
			ART UNIT 2163	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 04/27/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/601,812

**Applicant(s)**MACMILLAN, BRUCE  
DANIEL**Examiner**

Helene Rose

**Art Unit**

2163

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Helene Rose.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Ted Naeckel (Attorney Of Record).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 23 April 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 17, and 20.

Identification of prior art discussed: Breck, Birrell et al., and Purcell.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner Rose conducted an interview with Ted Naeckel on April 24, 2007. Ted Naeckel explained his interpretation of the claim and how the prior art differs as it relates to the 102 rejection using Breck, in which Examiner Rose disagreed with Ted in this regards. Also, clarity was given as it relates to the 103 rejection, wherein claims 9-14 are in view of Breck and Birrell and claims 18-19 are in view of Breck and Purcell.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required